

order the second time, passed with the proposed amendment, and returned to the Senate.

The bill reported by Mr Smith on the 20th inst. entitled, an act for the relief of the legal representatives of William S. Morsell, late clerk of Calvert county court, was taken up for consideration, read the second time, passed without amendment, and sent to the Senate for concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Done,

The bill (No. 94,) reported by Mr Nicholas, from the Committee on Ways and Means on the 19th inst. entitled, a supplement to the act, entitled, an act concerning the Washington Monument, passed at December session 1826, ch. 245, was taken up for consideration, and read the second time.

On motion by Mr Hunt, the blank^d in the 7th line of the preamble of the written bill, was filled with 'ten thousand.'

The bill was then passed, and sent to the Senate for concurrence.

On motion of Mr Done, (seconded by two other members who voted with him in the majority on the question of concurring in the amendment proposed by the Senate to the bill of this House, entitled, an additional supplement to the act entitled, an act to amend the lottery system, that was decided affirmatively on the 24th inst.) the house reconsidered its vote on that question, and dissented from the said amendment. Whereupon,

Mr Done submitted the following message:

By the House of Delegates;

February 26th, 1830.

Gentlemen of the Senate,—The sixth section of the bill entitled an additional supplement to the act, entitled an act to amend the Lottery System, being intended to elicit information solely for the purpose of enforcing the deliberate policy of this state, and making it productive of funds to meet the benevolent objects of this government!—And, as we consider it a necessary mean of enforcing the lottery system; one, to which no well-grounded objection can be maintained—because we regard infractions thereof, not as acts *per se* criminal; but rather as deliberate, legally advised evasions, which should be repressed and prevented, by constraining some one or more persons having full knowledge of such proceedings, to testify, without prejudice to any such witness: therefore, we respectfully ask your honorable body to reconsider the said section, in the hope that you may approve the same.

✓